Presented at the American Society of Cell and Gene Therapy (ASGCT) Annual Meeting, Los Angeles, CA, USA, May 16–20, 2023 Corresponding author: Austin T. Raper (medinfo@sarepta.com)

Objective

To develop an AEX chromatography method that uses a step gradient/isocratic elution strategy for full particle enrichment of affinity-purified AAV preparations that is robust and simple to execute at scale.

Key Takeaways

Isocratic step gradient elution is limited by lot-to-lot inconsistency between monolith columns as seen at small (1 mL) and large scale (80 mL).

We recommend scale up of the monolith using a linear gradient method or using a packed resin bed for isocratic methods.

AT Raper,* AJ Reed, S Hartbarger, KA Barber, J Zhang, Y Oh Sarepta Genetic Therapies Center of Excellence, Columbus, OH *Austin T. Raper is presenting on behalf of the author group





ABBREVIATIONS AAV, adeno-associated virus; AEX, anion-exchange; FDA, Food and Drug Administration; qPCR, quantitative polymerase chain reaction; SEC-MALS, size exclusion chromatography with multiangle light scattering.

BACKGROUND

- Empty capsids are by-products of AAV production and are generally accepted as undesirable in drug product preparations due to complications surrounding immunogenicity and doserelated toxicity.
- Recent proposed draft guidance for FDA consideration recommends minimizing empty capsids in AAV-based gene therapy products.^{1,2}
- AEX chromatography is often used to enrich for full AAV particles. Development of isocratic methods is ideal as they are easier to execute at scale, promising less user interaction, less buffer consumption, and less eluate volume.

METHODS

- Triple transfection of suspension-adapted HEK293 cells was used to produce AAV containing a model transgene. Cultures were processed following a downstream process and AAV was purified by affinity chromatography. Affinity column eluate from multiple production lots served as loading material for AEX chromatography process development.
- Vector genome titers were measured by qPCR and percentage of full AAV particles was measured by SEC-MALS.

REFERENCES

- 1. Dark Horse Consulting. Proposed draft guidance for FDA consideration. darkhorseconsultinggroup.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/05/DHC_ Proposed-DRAFT-Guidance-for-FDA-Consideration.pdf (Accessed May 2023). U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Cellular, Tissue, and Gene Therapies Advisory Committee (CTGTAC) Meeting #70.
- https://www.fda.gov/media/151599/download (Accessed May 2023).

Step Gradient Development for Full Particle Enrichment of AAV on Anion-Exchange Monoliths is Hindered by Inconsistent Column Lots

CONCLUSIONS

 CIMmultus QA monolith AEX columns from Sartorius show great potential for full particle enrichment of AAV preparations via linear gradient elution.

• Efforts to develop and scale an isocratic step gradient elution method resulted in several failed chromatography runs showing significant breakthrough of full particles in the empty particle wash step.



 Run failures could be simulated at small scale; testing of different sample material, buffer lots and column lots revealed that inconsistencies among column lots resulted in the run failures.

Table 1. Summary of Isocratic Step Gradient Development Runs at the 1 mL CIMmultus QA

centage B in p 1 wash, %	Vector genomes injected	Vector genomes in Step 1 wash, %	Abs _{260/280} of Step 2 elution
32	1.4E13	0	1.30
34	1.4E13	0	1.30
36	1.4E13	0.2	1.34
38	1.4E13	2.0	1.39
40	1.4E13	18.1	1.42

Isocratic step gradient runs at the 80-mL CIMmultus QA monolith scale

Significant breakthrough of full particles observed in Step 1.

- Run failures were simulated at small scale (1 mL monoliths).
- Testing revealed that run failures occurred across different column lots (Table 2).

Run ID	Vector genomes injected	Monolith lot	Sample lot	Buffer lot	Percentage Buffer B Step 1, %	Step 1 conductivity (mS/cm)	Full particles in Step 1?
4A	1.4E13	1	1	1	38	9.16	Minimal
4B	4.7E13	2	2	2	38	9.29	Minimal
4C	4.7E13	3	2	2	38	9.24	Yes
4D	4.7E13	3	2	2	36	8.86	Minimal

Development of isocratic step gradient elution for AAV on a packed-bed AEX column



Run ID	Percentage B in Step 1 wash, %	Vector genomes injected	Vector genomes in empty particle eluate or Step 1 wash, %	Full particle enrichment of eluate, %
5A	Linear gradient	5.4E14	0	90
5B	33	5.4E14	12	66
5C	35	5.4E14	20	70
5D	37	5.4E14	22	75
5E	42	5.4E14	40	96

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS & DISCLOSURES

The Early Research Process Development group at Sarepta Therapeutics thanks the Sarepta Technical Operations group for the scale-up data. This research was funded by Sarepta Therapeutics, Inc., Cambridge, MA, USA. Editorial support was provided by Nucleus Global and was funded by Sarepta Therapeutics, Inc. ATR, AJR, SH, KAB, JZ, and YO are employees of Sarepta Therapeutics and may have stock options.



Please scan QR code for full study details

 Given the inconsistencies across column lots, we recommend scale up of these monoliths using a linear gradient elution strategy or development of isocratic methods on packed resin beds.

Table 2. Summary of root cause identification for run failures at the 1-mL CIMmultus QA monolith scale



• Resolution between empty and full AAV particles is smaller compared to CIMmultus QA. • Isocratic step gradient is possible, but there is strong anti-correlation between yield and enrichment

Table 3. Summary of isocratic step gradient development runs on a 1-mL packed-bed AEX column