# **Cardiac MRI outcomes in patients with Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy treated with delandistrogene moxeparvovec: Findings from EMBARK Part 1**

### G Walter,<sup>1\*</sup> K Vandenborne,<sup>2</sup> J Bourke,<sup>3</sup> J Soslow,<sup>4</sup> S Mason,<sup>5</sup> E Palatinsky,<sup>5</sup> C Wandel,<sup>6</sup> K Ding,<sup>5</sup> C Reid,<sup>7</sup> AP Murphy,<sup>7</sup> M Manfrini,<sup>6</sup> J Richardson,<sup>5</sup> JS Elkins<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physiology and Aging, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA; <sup>2</sup>Department of Physical Therapy, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA; <sup>3</sup>Department of Cardiology, Freeman Hospital, Newcastle upon Tyne NHS Foundation Trust, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK; <sup>4</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Division of Pediatric Cardiology, Monroe Carell Jr. Children's Hospital at Vanderbilt, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, USA; <sup>5</sup>Sarepta Therapeutics, Inc., Cambridge, MA, USA; <sup>6</sup>F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Basel, Switzerland; <sup>7</sup>Roche Products Ltd, Welwyn Garden City, UK.

\*Presenting on behalf of the author group (email address: <u>medinfo@sarepta.com</u>)

# What does this study mean for the DMD community?

- Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (cMRI), a key modality used to evaluate cardiac function in patients with DMD, can identify early signs of cardiac disease progression that are not yet clinically evident.<sup>1,2</sup>
- In the EMBARK study (NCT05096221),<sup>3</sup> cMRI measures at Week 52 post-infusion supported the previously demonstrated manageable safety profile of delandistrogene moxeparvovec, with results indicating no adverse cardiac effects 1 year post-treatment.

### Conclusions

- At Week 52 post-administration with delandistrogene moxeparvovec, there were no signs of alterations in cardiac measures compared with placebo based on cardiac function, volume or mass evaluated by non-contrast cMRI.
- Results indicated no adverse cardiac effects 1 year after treatment, supporting the previously demonstrated manageable safety profile of delandistrogene moxeparvovec in clinical trial settings.
- Cardiac safety and efficacy will continue to be assessed longer-term in these patients.





## **OBJECTIVE**

• We report results of a pre-specified, exploratory analysis in a subset of patients (n=39) who underwent non-contrast cMRI in EMBARK Part 1 (baseline and Week 52) to evaluate the effect of delandistrogene moxeparvovec on cardiac function, volume and mass.

# BACKGROUND

- DMD is a rare, X-linked neuromuscular disease caused by pathogenic variants in the DMD gene that result in the absence of functional dystrophin and continuous muscle damage, beginning from birth.<sup>4,5</sup>
  - Absent functional dystrophin causes progressive myocardial fibrosis, initially presenting as subclinical cardiomyopathy and later progressing to dilated cardiomyopathy and heart failure.<sup>2</sup>
- Delandistrogene moxeparvovec is an rAAVrh74 vector-based gene transfer therapy (with an MHCK7 promoter containing an  $\alpha$ -MHC enhancer highly active in cardiac muscle) that delivers a transgene encoding delandistrogene moxeparvovec micro-dystrophin, an engineered, functional form of dystrophin shown to stabilise or slow disease progression in DMD.<sup>4–7</sup>
  - It is approved in the US and in other select countries.<sup>8–14</sup>
- cMRI is a non-invasive method of monitoring cardiac safety and disease progression in DMD, and is sensitive to subclinical cardiac changes.<sup>1,2,15,16</sup>
  - Early alterations in circumferential strain can be detected in patients with DMD aged <10 years who still have normal ejection fractions, even in the absence of late gadolinium enhancement positivity. These alterations progress with age, eventually affecting left ventricle ejection fraction.<sup>16</sup>
- EMBARK, a Phase 3, two-part, multinational, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial in patients with DMD aged  $\geq$ 4 to <8 years (**Figure 1**), showed stabilisation of DMD disease progression and manageable safety following delandistrogene moxeparvovec.<sup>17</sup>

## **METHODS**

### Figure 1. EMBARK study design



\*At Week 52, a subset of 39 patients underwent non-contrast cMRI at pre-selected sites per protocol. Sites were pre-selected based on experience in performing routine MRI. <sup>†</sup>Only a subset of patients received a muscle biopsy for expression assessments, based on site experience and feasibility.

### cMRI analyses

- Prior to each scanning session, patients and parents/guardians were carefully screened for MR compatibility and safety following local screening procedures.
- A pre-specified exploratory cMRI sub-study from EMBARK Part 1 assessed the therapeutic impact of delandistrogene moxeparvovec on non-contrast (to mitigate the risk of adverse events) cMRI markers of disease progression in a subset of patients who received delandistrogene moxeparvovec  $(1.33 \times 10^{14} \text{ vg/kg}; n=19)$  or placebo (n=20).
- Native T1 was acquired as an optional scan, the results of which are not reported here.
- MRI was conducted at baseline and Week 52 using a Philips or Siemens 3T system.



# RESULTS

### Table 1. Baseline clinical characteristics of EMBARK MR sub-study participants

Characteristic	Delandistrogene moxeparvovec (n=19)	Placebo (n=20)	All (N=39)
<b>Age, mean (SD), years</b> 4–5 years, n (%) 6–7 years, n (%)	5.92 (1.10) 10 (52.6) 9 (47.4)	6.27 (0.98) 9 (45.0) 11 (55.0)	6.10 (1.04) 19 (48.7) 20 (51.3)
Dosing weight, mean (SD), kg	22.44 (6.31)	21.77 (5.94)	22.09 (6.05)
Time since corticosteroid treatment started, mean (SD), years	0.77 (0.53)	0.91 (0.51)	0.84 (0.52)
Pathogenic variant, n (%) Large deletion Small mutation	16 (84.2) 3 (15.8)	13 (65.0) 7 (35.0)	29 (74.4) 10 (25.6)
NSAA total score, mean (SD), points	23.61 (3.85)	22.40 (3.58)	22.99 (3.71)
TTR, mean (SD), seconds	3.48 (0.98)	3.46 (0.78)	3.47 (0.87)

### Table 2. cMRI findings at baseline and Week 52

	Basel	ine	Week 52		
Parameter, mean (SD)	Delandistrogene moxeparvovec	Placebo	Delandistrogene moxeparvovec	Placebo	
Left ventricle Ejection fraction, % End diastolic volume, mL End systolic volume, mL Mass, g	64.69 (6.04) 50.88 (11.84) 18.13 (5.54) 39.56 (9.56)	64.58 (5.92) 47.47 (11.10) 17.00 (5.11) 40.32 (10.52)	65.41 (5.44) 50.88 (11.43) 17.82 (5.38) 43.47 (9.02)	66.29 (5.90) 49.71 (11.74) 16.93 (5.41) 48.21 (10.31)	
Global circumferential strain Global L ventricle, %	–18.37 (2.89)	–18.59 (2.50)	–18.71 (1.87)	–18.53 (2.73)	
Segmental circumferential strain L ventricle anterior, % L ventricle anterolateral, % L ventricle anteroseptal, % L ventricle inferior, % L ventricle inferolateral, % L ventricle inferoseptal, %	-19.02 (4.14) -19.24 (4.07) -17.68 (3.86) -16.41 (3.23) -21.45 (3.42) -16.40 (2.98)	-18.80 (3.26) -19.81 (2.92) -17.04 (3.40) -17.69 (4.22) -21.69 (3.60) -16.50 (3.21)	-18.02 (4.32) -18.97 (2.99) -17.29 (2.65) -18.80 (3.22) -22.29 (4.24) -16.92 (4.90)	-18.76 (4.12) -18.01 (3.12) -17.38 (2.25) -19.45 (4.77) -21.35 (5.27) -16.25 (3.31)	



### Delandistrogene moxeparvovec Placebo

- At Week 52, analysis of cMRI left ventricular parameters, including ejection fraction, end diastolic volume, end systolic volume and mass, revealed no relevant differences between delandistrogene moxeparvovec and placebo in the sub-study population (Figure 2A).
- Analysis of the global circumferential strain of the left ventricle revealed no relevant differences between delandistrogene moxeparvovec and placebo at Week 52 (Figure 2B).
- Analyses of the segmental circumferential strain parameters across different regions of the left ventricle revealed no relevant

- Patient demographics, clinical characteristics and cMRI findings at baseline were balanced between delandistrogene moxeparvovec and placebo groups (Tables 1 and 2).
- cMRI results remained similar between delandistrogene moxeparvovec and placebo groups at Week 52 (**Table 2**).

### **Acknowledgements and disclosures**

The authors thank the patients and their families for their participation in EMBARK, as well as the investigators and trial staff involved in EMBARK. This study was sponsored by Sarepta Therapeutics, Inc., Cambridge, MA, USA and funded by Sarepta Therapeutics, Inc., Cambridge, MA, USA and F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Basel, Switzerland. Medical writing and editorial assistance was provided by Leo Mahachi, PhD, and Jen Ciarochi, PhD, of Nucleus Global in accordance with Good Publication Practice (GPP) 2022 guidelines (https://www.ismpp.org/gpp-2022) and funded by Sarepta Therapeutics, Inc., Cambridge, MA, USA and F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Basel, Switzerland. GW and KV were supported by a research service agreement between the University of Florida and Sarepta Therapeutics. JB serves on the Data Monitoring Committee for Sarepta Therapeutics DMD gene therapy trials, occasionally serves on the BMD trial design committee for Sarepta Therapeutics and is an occasional external expert for AstraZeneca; JB also occasionally serves on the Pfizer stem cell therapy DMD trials advisory board, the EspeRare advisory panel and the TREAT-NMD submission evaluation panel. JS has consulted with Sarepta Therapeutics, Pfizer, WCG Imaging and ImmunoForge, and has received grant funding related to DMD. SM, EP, KD, JR and JSE are employees of Sarepta Therapeutics and may have stock options. CW and MM are employees of F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd and may have stock options. CR and APM are employees of Roche Products Ltd and may have stock options in F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd.

### **Abbreviations**

 $\alpha$ -MHC, alpha-myosin heavy chain; cMRI, cardiac magnetic resonance imaging; DMD, Duchenne muscular dystrophy; IV, intravenous; L, left; MR, magnetic resonance; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; NSAA, North Star Ambulatory Assessment; rAAVrh74, recombinant adeno-associated virus rhesus isolate serotype 74; SD, standard deviation; SE, standard error; T1, longitudinal relaxation time; TTR, Time to Rise; vg, vector genomes.

### cha We Mean at -0.04 -0.57 -1.19 -2.41 -2.00 Segm -5 Ventrice Merolateral lentricle L Ventrick erio, ventrio, series and s

### differences between delandistrogene moxeparvovec and placebo at Week 52 (Figure 2C).

\*cMRI findings are reported for patients with cMRI data available at both baseline and Week 52.

### References

•	Blaszczyk E, et al. <i>Curr Heart Fail Rep</i> . 2021; 18:211–224;	9.	Qatar Ministry of Public Health Update, 26 July 2024 Roche data on file;
•	Sanchez F, et al. <i>Curr Probl Diagn Radiol</i> . 2022;	10.	UAE Ministry of Health & Prevention.
	ClinicalTrials.gov. NCT05096221 (Accessed October		product-directory (Accessed October 2024);
	2024);	11.	Kuwait Ministry of Health Update, 19 February 2024
•	Asner DR, et al. <i>Expert Opin Biol Ther</i> . 2020; 20:263– 274:	12.	Roche data on file; National Health Regulatory Authority Bahrain
	Mendell JR, et al. <i>JAMA Neurol</i> . 2020; 77:1122–1131;		https://www.nhra.bh/Departments/PPR/ (Accessed
•	Zheng C and Baum BJ. <i>Methods Mol Biol</i> . 2008;	12	October 2024); Ministry of Health Oman Registration Cartificate 25
	Mendell JR, et al. Presented at MDA 2024; Poster	15.	March 2024. Roche data on file;
	#M164;	14.	Ministry of Health Israel, Registration Certificate. 27
•	Highlights of prescribing information.	15.	June 2024. Roche data on file; McNally EM. <i>JAMA Cardiol.</i> 2017: 2:199:
	https://www.fda.gov/media/169679/download.	16.	Hor KN, et al. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2009; 53:1204–12
	(Accessed October 2024);	17.	ClinicalTrials.gov. NCT05967351 (Accessed Octobe 2024).



To access the full poster on your mobile device please scan using your QR reader application. NB: There may be associated costs for downloading data. These costs may be high if you are using your smartphone abroad. Please check your mobile data tariff or contact your service provider for more details.