REAL-WORLD EVIDENCE OF ETEPLIRSEN TREATMENT EFFECTS ON DUCHENNE MUSCULAR DYSTROPHY RELATED HEALTH OUTCOMES USING CLAIMS DATA IN THE UNITED STATES

Joel Iff¹, Edward Tuttle², Charles Gerrits¹, Deepshekhar Gupta², Yi Zhong² ¹Sarepta Therapeutics, Inc, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA; ²Analysis Group, Inc., Menlo Park, California, USA.

INTRODUCTION

Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) is a rare, X-linked, severely debilitating, and ultimately fatal neuromuscular disease characterised by progressive muscle weakness.¹

Eteplirsen is approved in the US to treat patients with DMD who have a confirmed mutation of the DMD gene amenable to exon 51 skipping. The rarity of DMD makes it difficult to assess real-world outcomes in these patients. This study uses a data set with claims covering a majority of the US population and linked electronic medical records (EMR) to assess DMDrelated health and resource utilization outcomes in treated and untreated DMD patients.

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this study was to provide real-world perspective on healthcare resource utilization and significant disease progression events in patients with DMD treated with eteplirsen and those treated with standard of care alone.

METHODS

DATA AND MATCHING

- Linked administrative claims and EMR data (2011-2020) from Decision Resources Group data repository were used
- Patients with both administrative claims and EMR data in whom SNOMED-CT codes could positively identify DMD were selected
- Eteplirsen-treated patients were identified based on eteplirsen-related National Drug Code (NDC) and Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System (HCPCS) codes
- Stages of progression were identified based on observation of administrative claims and EMR data associated with signal markers of disease stage, with expert clinical input
- Eteplirsen-treated and standard of care patients were matched on age, disease stage, and key health events using a two-stage matching algorithm
 - Stage 1 (exact matching): each treated patient was matched exactly with the full set of untreated patients on: age at index and disease stage at index
 - Stage 2 (propensity score matching): within the existing matched sets of treated and untreated patients, patients were further matched based on DMD-related health events[†] in the baseline[§] period using propensity score

REGRESSION ANALYSIS

- Eteplirsen-treated and standard of care cohorts were compared for post-baseline outcomes using Poisson regression methods, adjusted for length of observation in baseline and follow-up[¥] period, and controlling for age, health stage, and baseline level of each health event measure
 - Hospitalization days and intensity-adjusted hospital encounters^{*}
 - Emergency room (ER) visits and intensity-adjusted emergency room visits*
 - Intensive care unit (ICU) days and intensity-adjusted ICU^{*}
 - Pulmonary management
 - Scoliosis
 - Cardiac management
 - Tracheostomy
 - Assisted ventilation

⁺The matching process and Poisson regression also include baseline rates of motorized wheelchair and cough assist device as well as ever have had a motorized wheelchair claim from start of observation to index time. However, motorized wheelchair rates and cough assist device rates are not included as outcome variables because motorized wheelchair rates are more likely to reflect health insurance coverage instead of loss of ambulation with claims for different chair accessory components and cough assist device is a standard care once a patient starts on it. Motorized wheelchair and cough assist device are included in the matching and regression analysis because they serve as good controls for unobserved patient characteristics such as health insurance coverage, socioeconomic status, and medical care consumption behavior that may be confounding factors to patients healthcare consumption behaviors in follow-up periods. The matching process excludes tracheostomy and ICU events due to rare events;

SAMPLE SELECTION[¢]



Baseline

DMD-re

RESULTS

Untreated **Eteplirsen-treated** 997 Total number of patients 480 With at least 1 medical claim 480 955 428 900 With available health stage After one-to-one matching (>1 month[‡]) 333 333 After one-to-one matching (>3 months[‡]) 324 324 After one-to-one matching (>6 months[‡]) 301 301

PATIENT CHARACTERISTICS

	(>6 months	SAMPLE	(>3 months follow-up)		
	Treated	Untreated	Treated	Untreated	
Age at index (Mean, SD)	13.44 (6.06)	13.44 (6.06)	13.47 (6.21)	13.47 (6.21)	
Health Stage at index (N, %)					
Early ambulatory	55 (18.27%)	55 (18.27%)	60 (18.52%)	60 (18.52%)	
Late ambulatory	48 (15.95%)	48 (15.95%)	51 (15.74%)	51 (15.74%)	
Early non-ambulatory	140 (46.51%)	140 (46.51%)	149 (45.99%)	149 (45.99%)	
Late non-ambulatory	58 (19.87%)	58 (19.87%)	64 (19.75%)	64 (19.75%)	
Total Number of Patients	301	301	324	324	

HEALTH EVENTS OBSERVED IN MATCHED SAMPLE AT BASELINE

line vearly average rates						
and yearly average rates	Primary S	cenario (>6 mor	ths follow-up)	Sensitivity	Scenario (>3 mc	onths follow-up)
OMD-related Medical Events (Mean, SD)	Treated	Untreated	T-test (P-value)	Treated	Untreated	T-test (P-value)
Adjusted Emergency Room	0.53 (1.72)	0.53 (1.85)	0.979	0.52 (1.67)	0.42 (1.48)	0.430
Emergency Room Days	0.34 (0.99)	0.32 (1.27)	0.817	0.34 (0.97)	0.24 (0.72)	0.113
Adjusted Hospital Encounter	3.43 (7.42)	3.12 (10.44)	0.677	3.39 (7.25)	3.56 (10.36)	0.807
Hospital Days	1.89 (3.94)	1.79 (5.81)	0.797	1.89 (3.88)	1.88 (5.66)	0.989
Adjusted ICU	0.15 (1.26)	0.07 (0.73)	0.342	0.14 (1.21)	0.09 (0.72)	0.498
ICU Days	0.14 (1.18)	0.06 (0.64)	0.312	0.13 (1.14)	0.07 (0.62)	0.420
Pulmonary Management	0.94 (3.47)	0.76 (2.70)	0.481	1.05 (3.72)	0.97 (4.04)	0.785
Motorized Wheelchair	0.82 (2.36)	0.83 (2.33)	0.965	0.80 (2.30)	0.63 (1.92)	0.310
Scoliosis	1.04 (6.79)	0.85 (5.35)	0.703	1.06 (6.61)	0.84 (4.65)	0.703
Cardiac Management	1.56 (3.13)	1.38 (2.89)	0.459	1.54 (3.05)	1.44 (2.78)	0.671
Tracheostomy	0.76 (9.07)	0.60 (6.42)	0.804	0.70 (8.74)	0.70 (5.54)	0.999
Cough Assist Device	0.44 (1.78)	0.28 (1.47)	0.234	0.41 (1.72)	0.23 (1.25)	0.127
Assisted Ventilation	0.78 (4.86)	0.58 (4.37)	0.596	1.09 (7.35)	0.63 (3.90)	0.323
Baseline length in Months (Mean, SD)	10.69 (1.58)	10.51 (1.71)		10.65 (1.61)	10.60 (1.59)	
Follow-up length in Months (Mean, SD)	30.29 (18.22)	45.29 (24.62)		28.49 (11.83)	43.83 (24.56)	
Total Number of Patients	301	301		324	324	

*Intensity adjusted hospital, ER, and ICU encounters account for both length of stay (days) and number of distinct claims during the visit to account for complexity of care required; [§]Baseline is defined as the shorter of 1 year before index time or the period from the earliest observation to index time; [¥]Follow-up period is defined as the period from index time to the last observation.

ADJUSTED HEALTH EVENTS IN FOLLOW-UP PERIODS

Yearly average rates based on Poisson model estimates

DMD-related Medical Events (N

- Adjusted Emerger
- Emergency Ro
- Adjusted Hospital E
 - Hosp
 - Adi

Pulmonary Mar

Cardiac Man Trach

Assisted V

Total Number of Pa



CONCLUSION

off time length is for observed follow-up period.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS AND DISCLOSURES

This study was funded by Sarepta Therapeutics, Inc.; J. Iff and C. Gerrits are employees of Sarepta Therapeutics Inc. and may own stock/options in the company; E. Tuttle, D. Gupta and Y. Zhong are employed by Analysis Group, Inc. and received funding from Sarepta Therapeutics Inc. for conducting the claims database analysis and writing support.

REFERENCES

1. Birnkrant et al. Lancet Neurol. 2018 Apr;17(4):347-61.



011							
	Primary Scenario (>6 months follow-up)			Sensitivity Scenario (>3 months follow-up)			
/lean, SE)	Treated	Untreated	T-test (P-value)	Treated	Untreated	T-test (P-value)	
icy Room	0.060 (0.009)	0.101 (0.012)	0.004	0.061 (0.009)	0.098 (0.019)	0.035	
om Days	0.038 (0.006)	0.057 (0.007)	0.024	0.035 (0.006)	0.065 (0.016)	0.027	
ncounter	0.361 (0.045)	0.597 (0.106)	0.027	0.376 (0.048)	0.599 (0.105)	0.043	
oital Days	0.168 (0.019)	0.255 (0.025)	0.005	0.178 (0.020)	0.260 (0.030)	0.015	
usted ICU	0.023 (0.005)	0.054 (0.017)	0.038	0.023 (0.005)	0.039 (0.014)	0.251	
ICU Days	0.021 (0.004)	0.047 (0.014)	0.038	0.021 (0.004)	0.034 (0.011)	0.278	
agement	0.089 (0.011)	0.173 (0.034)	0.004	0.100 (0.013)	0.206 (0.033)	<0.001	
Scoliosis	0.092 (0.021)	0.110 (0.018)	0.552	0.086 (0.020)	0.141 (0.027)	0.119	
agement	0.127 (0.010)	0.187 (0.016)	0.001	0.128 (0.010)	0.159 (0.013)	0.070	
leostomy	0.072 (0.015)	0.351 (0.116)	0.001	0.064 (0.015)	0.358 (0.168)	0.004	
entilation	0.124 (0.016)	0.210 (0.032)	0.011	0.145 (0.017)	0.261 (0.040)	0.004	

The primary analysis (>6 months follow-up) demonstrated that **Eteplirsen treatment is** associated with significantly lower rates of:

- Adjusted hospital encounter (P=0.027) and hospital days (p=0.005)
- Adjusted ER (P=0.004) and ER days (p=0.024)
- Adjusted ICU rates (P=0.038) and days (p=0.038)
- Pulmonary management (p=0.004)
- Cardiac management (p=0.001)
- Tracheostomy (p=0.001)
- Assisted ventilation (p=0.011)

The results are robust in sensitivity analyses



This study provides the first real-world evidence of eteplirsen treatment effects on key health outcomes in DMD patients.

^oPatients with first DMD diagnosis or eteplirsen treatment initiation older than 40 years and patients with less than 6 months baseline observation are excluded; ⁺The cut-

