Safety and Efficacy of Delandistrogene Moxeparvovec Versus Placebo in Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy: Phase 3 EMBARK Primary Results

JR Mendell,[1,2]* F Muntoni,[3] CM McDonald,[4] EM Mercuri,[5] E Ciafaloni,[6] H Komaki,[7] C Leon-Astudillo,[8] A Nascimento,[9] C Proud,[10] U Schara-Schmidt,[11] A Veerapandiyan,[12] CM Zaidman,[13] M Guridi,[14] AP Murphy,[15] C Reid,[15] C Wandel,[14] DR Asher,[16†] E Darton,[16] S Mason,[16] RA Potter,[16] T Singh,[16] W Zhang,[16] P Fontoura,[14] JS Elkins,[16] LR Rodino-Klapac[16] on behalf of the EMBARK Study Group

[1]Center for Gene Therapy, Nationwide Children's Hospital, Columbus, OH, USA; [2]The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA; [3]The Dubowitz Neuromuscular Centre, NIHR Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health and Institute of Neurology, University College London, and Great Ormond Street Hospital Trust, London, UK; [4]UC Davis Health, Sacramento, CA, USA; [5]Pediatric Neurology Institute, Catholic University and Nemo Pediatrico, Fondazione Policlinico Gemelli IRCCS, Rome, Italy; [6]University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, NY, USA; [7]Translational Medical Center, National Center of Neurology and Psychiatry, Kodaira, Tokyo, Japan; [8]Department of Pediatrics, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA; [9]Neuromuscular Unit, Neuropaediatrics Department, Hospital Sant Joan de Déu, Fundacion Sant Joan de Déu, CIBERER – ISC III, Barcelona, Spain; [10]Children's Hospital of the King's Daughters, Norfolk, VA, USA; [11]Department of Pediatric Neurology, Center for Neuromuscular Disorders in Children and Adolescents, University Clinic Essen, University of Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany; [12]Department of Pediatrics, Division of Neurology, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Arkansas Children's Hospital, Little Rock, AR, USA; [13]Department of Neurology, Washington University in St Louis, St Louis, MO, USA; [14]F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Basel, Switzerland; [15]Roche Products Ltd, Welwyn Garden City, UK; [16]Sarepta Therapeutics, Inc., Cambridge, MA, USA
*At the time of study; †Presenting on behalf of the author group (email address: medinfo@sarepta.com).

What does this study mean for the DMD community

- The totality of findings from all functional outcomes assessed in the EMBARK study, including the timed functional tests, supports modification of disease trajectory with delandistrogene moxeparvovec with a manageable safety profile.
  - EMBARK Part 2 will provide 2-year data for patients treated in Part 1, allowing progression to be monitored and adding to longer-term data.

Conclusions

- At Week 52, the safety profile of delandistrogene moxeparvovec was consistent with prior experience, and AEs were medically manageable with appropriate monitoring and treatment, with no new safety signals identified, and no deaths, study discontinuations or clinically relevant complement-mediated AEs.
- Although delandistrogene moxeparvovec did not show a statistically significant difference in the primary endpoint at Week 52 versus placebo, there was a clear separation between treatment groups, most evident on key secondary and other functional endpoints that consisted of well-validated measures of ambulatory function in DMD.
- The GST supported the totality of evidence of the beneficial effect of delandistrogene moxeparvovec on motor function and strongly indicated the presence of a functional treatment effect.
- A post hoc analysis of TTR showed a marked difference in the proportion of delandistrogene moxeparvovec-treated patients progressing to a TTR >5 seconds, a prognostic marker for accelerated disease progression and earlier loss of ambulation.

Objective

- We present an overview of the 1-year safety and functional outcomes from Part 1 of EMBARK.

Background

- Delandistrogene moxeparvovec is an rAAVrh74 vector-based gene transfer therapy, designed to compensate for the absence of functional dystrophin in DMD by delivering a transgene encoding delandistrogene moxeparvovec micro-dystrophin, an engineered protein that retains key functional domains of the wild-type protein.[1–3]
- As of April 2024, delandistrogene moxeparvovec is approved in the USA, UAE, Qatar, Kuwait, Bahrain and Oman for the treatment of ambulatory pediatric patients aged 4 from 5 years with DMD with a confirmed mutation in the DMD gene. Delandistrogene moxeparvovec is contraindicated in patients with any deletion in exon 8 and/or exon 9 in the DMD gene.[4–9]
- EMBARK (NCT05096221)[10] is a Phase 3, two-part, multinational, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study assessing the safety and efficacy of delandistrogene moxeparvovec in patients with DMD aged ≥4 to <8 years.

Figure 1. Overview of delandistrogene moxeparvovec

*ITRs are required for genome replication and packaging. †PolyA signals the end of the transgene to the cellular machinery that transcribes (i.e. copies) it.

Study design and endpoints[10]

Figure 2. EMBARK study design schematic

- Stratification based on age at randomization (≥4 to <6 or ≥6 to <8 years) and NSAA score at screening (≤22 vs. >22).

*Only a subset of patients will receive a muscle biopsy for expression assessments, based on site experience and feasibility.

Key inclusion criteria

- Ambulatory males aged ≥4 to <8 years at randomization.
- Confirmed DMD diagnosis (DMD mutation fully contained between exons 18 to 79 [inclusive]).
- Ability to cooperate with motor assessment testing.
- NSAA score >16 and <29 points at screening.
- TTR <5 seconds at screening.
- On a stable daily dose of oral corticosteroids for ≥12 weeks before screening.
- rAAVrh74 total binding antibody titers <1:400 (i.e. not elevated).

Study design and endpoints[10] (cont.)

Endpoints

Primary endpoint
- Change from baseline to Week 52 in NSAA total score.

Key secondary functional endpoints
- Change from baseline to Week 52 in:
  - TTR
  - 10MWR.

Other secondary functional endpoints
- Change from baseline to Week 52 in:
  - SV95C as measured by a wearable device (Syde®)
  - 100MWR
  - Time to ascend 4 steps.

Safety endpoints
- TEAEs, SAEs and AEs of special interest.
- Clinically significant changes in laboratory assessments.

Additional pre-specified efficacy analyses
- GST for totality of evidence analysis on a composite of endpoints through permutations.[12,13]

The primary endpoint and secondary endpoints were tested using a statistical hierarchy to control the overall Type I error at a 2-sided level of 0.05*

*Additional endpoints were included in the sequential testing, that are not reported in this presentation.

Results

Demographics and clinical characteristics

- Patient demographics and baseline clinical characteristics were balanced between delandistrogene moxeparvovec and placebo groups.

Characteristic	Delandistrogene moxeparvovec (n=63)	Placebo (n=62)	All (N=125)
Mean age, years (SD)	5.98 (1.06)	6.08 (1.05)	6.03 (1.05)
4–5 years, n (%)	30 (47.6)	29 (46.8)	59 (47.2)
6–7 years, n (%)	33 (52.4)	33 (53.2)	66 (52.8)
Mean dosing weight, kg (SD)	21.29 (4.62)	22.37 (6.42)	21.83 (5.59)
Mean time since corticosteroid treatment started, years (SD)	1.07 (0.92)	0.97 (0.83)	1.02 (0.88)
Baseline functional assessments			
Mean NSAA total score, points (SD)	23.10 (3.75)	22.82 (3.78)	22.96 (3.75)
Mean TTR, seconds (SD)	3.52 (0.81)	3.60 (0.68)	3.56 (0.75)
Mean 10MWR, seconds (SD)	4.82 (0.79)	4.92 (0.73)	4.87 (0.76)
Mean SV95C, meters/second (SD)*	1.82 (0.30)	1.77 (0.29)	1.79 (0.30)
Mean 100MWR, seconds (SD)†	60.67 (15.55)	63.01 (17.01)	61.80 (16.25)
Mean time to ascend 4 steps, seconds (SD)‡	3.17 (1.01)	3.37 (1.09)	3.27 (1.05)

*SV95C: Delandistrogene moxeparvovec n=61, placebo n=62, total N=123. †100MWR: Delandistrogene moxeparvovec n=63, placebo n=59, total N=122. ‡Time to ascend 4 steps: Delandistrogene moxeparvovec n=63, placebo n=61, total N=124.

Safety overview

	Delandistrogene moxeparvovec (n=63)	Placebo (n=62)
Patients with any TEAE, n (%)	62 (98.4)	57 (91.9)
TEAEs, n	664	502
Patients with any TR-TEAE, n (%)	48 (76.2)	17 (27.4)
TR-TEAEs, n	235	43
Patients with any TR-SAE, n (%)	7 (11.1)	0
TR-SAEs, n	10	0
Patients with an AE leading to study discontinuation, n (%)	0	0
Deaths, n (%)	0	0

- The safety profile of delandistrogene moxeparvovec in EMBARK was **consistent with experience from early phase studies**.
- AEs were **medically manageable** with appropriate monitoring and treatment.
- There were **no clinically relevant complement activation AEs, no deaths and no study discontinuations**.

Results (cont.)

Primary endpoint
Figure 3. Change from baseline to Week 52 in NSAA total score

Between-group difference LSM (SE): 0.65 (0.55) points (95% CI –0.45 to 1.74) P=0.2441

*The widths of CIs have not been adjusted for multiplicity and cannot be used to infer definitive treatment effects. †One patient in the placebo group had missing data at Week 52; the patient's functional tests were marked as invalid by the clinical evaluator due to back pain from compression fractures.

Key secondary functional endpoints
Figure 5. Change from baseline to Week 52 in a) TTR and b) 10MWR

a) TTR — Between-group difference LSM (SE): –0.64 (0.21) seconds (95% CI –1.06 to –0.23) P=0.0025*

b) 10MWR — Between-group difference LSM (SE): –0.42 (0.15) seconds (95% CI, –0.71 to –0.13) P=0.0048*

*Since the primary endpoint did not meet statistical significance, all P-values resulting from subsequent hierarchical testing of endpoints are presented with no multiplicity adjustment (nominal). The widths of CIs have not been adjusted for multiplicity and cannot be used to infer definitive treatment effects. †One patient in the placebo group had missing data at Week 52; the patient's functional tests were marked as invalid by the clinical evaluator due to back pain from compression fractures.

- Other secondary functional endpoints are shown in Supplementary Figures 1–3.

Pre-specified GST

- A pre-specified **GST** was performed as an additional exploratory analysis to assess overall treatment effects.
- The test was on a composite of functional endpoints (Primary endpoint: NSAA total score; Key secondary functional endpoints: TTR, 10MWR; Other secondary functional endpoints: SV95C, 100MWR, ascend 4 steps).
- The GST **supported the totality of evidence of treatment benefit with delandistrogene moxeparvovec** compared with placebo (P=0.0044).

Post hoc analyses on TTR

- All patients had a TTR <5 seconds at screening.
- With delandistrogene moxeparvovec treatment, **fewer patients progressed to a TTR >5 seconds** compared with placebo.
- A TTR >5 seconds is a threshold of **prognostic significance for loss of ambulation**.[14,15]

Patients with TTR >5 seconds at Week 52		
Delandistrogene moxeparvovec (n=63)	Placebo (n=61)	Reduction in odds
3%	16%	91% (P=0.0135)

Summary of functional endpoints
Figure 4. Functional endpoints at Week 52 in the overall population

		Delandistrogene moxeparvovec	Placebo	LSM difference (95% CI)	P-value*
Primary	NSAA	63	61	0.65 (–0.45 to 1.74)	0.2441
Key Secondary	TTR	63	61	–0.64 (–1.06 to –0.23)	0.0025
	10MWR	63	61	–0.42 (–0.71 to –0.13)	0.0048
Other functional	SV95C	57	61	0.10 (0.00 to 0.19)	0.0402
	100MWR	59	57	–3.29 (–8.28 to 1.70)	0.1942
	Ascend 4 steps	62	60	–0.36 (–0.71 to –0.01)	0.0412

*Since the primary endpoint did not meet statistical significance, all P-values resulting from subsequent hierarchical testing are presented with no multiplicity adjustment (nominal). The widths of CIs have not been adjusted for multiplicity and cannot be used to infer definitive treatment effects.

LSMs (of change from baseline) and CIs were standardized by dividing by the SE. Negative values for timed function tests (TTR, 10MWR, 100MWR and time to ascend 4 steps) show an improvement in the time taken to achieve these endpoints. LSM differences are on the original scale (without SE adjustment). Signs of timed function tests were reversed in the forest plot to align favorable directions among endpoints. Numerical results of LSM difference kept the original signs.

- Negative values indicate an improvement in the time taken to achieve these endpoints.
- The separation between groups was **clinically relevant for both TTR and 10MWR**.

NSAA

- The heterogeneity of DMD disease progression is a challenge when designing trials of short duration in this study population and age range (4–7-year-olds).[16,17]
  - Motor function may still be improving, maintaining or starting to decline.
- A 1-point difference in the NSAA indicates different ranges of function, from inability to do a task, to using compensation, or performing with no compensation.[16] In younger patients, neurodevelopmental maturation might also affect these achievements.[18]

TFTs

- TFTs such as TTR and 10MWR may be more sensitive measures of functional change in this age range and study duration.[16]

References
1. Asher DR, et al. Expert Opin Biol Ther. 2020; 20:263–274.
2. Zheng C and Baum BJ. Methods Mol Biol. 2008; 434:205–219.
3. Mendell JR, et al. JAMA Neurol. 2020; 77:1122–1131.
4. Kowall Ministry of Health Update, 19 February 2024. Roche data on file.
5. Bahrain https://www.nhra.bh/Departments/PPR/ (March 2024).
6. US Food and Drug Administration. ELEVIDYS™ Highlights of prescribing information. https://www.fda.gov/media/169679/download. Published 2023 (Accessed April 2024).
7. Qatar Ministry of Public Health Update, 27 September 2023. Roche data on file.
8. UAE Ministry of Health Update, 19 February 2024. Roche data on file.
9. Kuwait Ministry of Health Update, 11 February 2024. Roche data on file.
10. Chandler RJ and Venditti CP. Transl Sci Rare Dis. 2016; 1:73–89.
11. Mendell JR, et al. Muscle Nerve. 2020; 61:19–25.
12. Wei LJ and Lachin JM. J Am Stat Assoc. 1984; 79:653–661.
13. Li D, et al. JAMA Netw Open. 2020; 3:e1921306.
14. McDonald CM, et al. Lancet. 2018; 391:451–461.
15. Zambon AA, et al. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2022; 64:979–988.
16. Muntoni F, et al. PLoS One. 2019; 14:e0221097.
17. Ouechani N and Mercuri E. Acta Myol. 2020; 39:227–241.
18. Mercuri E, et al. PLoS One. 2016; 11:e0160195.

Safety and Efficacy of Delandistrogene Moxeparvovec Versus Placebo in Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy: Phase 3 EMBARK Primary Results

JR Mendell,[1,2]* F Muntoni,[3] CM McDonald,[4] EM Mercuri,[5] E Ciafaloni,[6] H Komaki,[7] C Leon-Astudillo,[8] A Nascimento,[9] C Proud,[10] U Schara-Schmidt,[11] A Veerapandiyan,[12] CM Zaidman,[13] M Guridi,[14] AP Murphy,[15] C Reid,[15] C Wandel,[14] DR Asher,[16]† E Darton,[16] S Mason,[16] RA Potter,[16] T Singh,[16] W Zhang,[16] P Fontoura,[14] JS Elkins,[16] LR Rodino-Klapac[16] on behalf of the EMBARK Study Group

[1]Center for Gene Therapy, Nationwide Children's Hospital, Columbus, OH, USA; [2]The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA; [3]The Dubowitz Neuromuscular Centre, NIHR Great Ormond Street Hospital Biomedical Research Centre, Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health and Institute of Child Health, University College London, and Great Ormond Street Hospital Trust, London, UK; [4]UC Davis Health, Sacramento, CA, USA; [5]Pediatric Neurology Institute, Catholic University and Nemo Pediatrico, Fondazione Policlinico Gemelli IRCCS, Rome, Italy; [6]University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, NY, USA; [7]Translational Medical Center, National Center of Neurology and Psychiatry, Kodaira, Tokyo, Japan; [8]Department of Pediatrics, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA; [9]Neuromuscular Unit, Neuropaediatrics Department, Hospital Sant Joan de Déu, Fundacion Sant Joan de Déu, CIBERER – ISC III, Barcelona, Spain; [10]Children's Hospital of the King's Daughters, Norfolk, VA, USA; [11]Department of Pediatric Neurology, Center for Neuromuscular Disorders in Children and Adolescents, University Clinic Essen, University of Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany; [12]Department of Pediatrics, Division of Neurology, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Arkansas Children's Hospital, Little Rock, AR, USA; [13]Department of Neurology, Washington University in St Louis, St Louis, MO, USA; [14]F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Basel, Switzerland; [15]Roche Products Ltd, Welwyn Garden City, UK; [16]Sarepta Therapeutics, Inc., Cambridge, MA, USA
*At the time of study; †Presenting on behalf of the author group (email address: medinfo@sarepta.com).

Supplementary information

Other secondary functional endpoint:
Supplementary Figure 1. Change from baseline to Week 52 in SV95C

Between-group difference LSM (SE): 0.10 (0.05) meters/second (95% CI 0.00 to 0.19) $P=0.0402$*

- SV95C is a **digital objective endpoint** of ambulatory performance in patients' **normal daily environment**
- Patients in EMBARK **wore the device on each ankle for 3 weeks** prior to the clinic visits

- The change from baseline **met the published MCID by the EMA**[1]
- EMBARK is the first randomized, placebo-controlled trial in DMD that **showed clinical relevance to a therapy based on SV95C** from a wearable device

*Since the primary endpoint did not meet statistical significance, all P-values resulting from subsequent hierarchical testing of endpoints are presented with no multiplicity adjustment (nominal). The widths of CIs have not been adjusted for multiplicity and cannot be used to infer definitive treatment effects. [1]A small number of patients did not have sufficient recorded hours at Week 52 for analysis.

Other secondary functional endpoint:
Supplementary Figure 2. Change from baseline to Week 52 in 100MWR

Between-group difference LSM (SE): −3.29 (2.52) seconds (95% CI −8.28 to 1.70) $P=0.1942$*

- Negative values indicate an improvement in the time taken to achieve this endpoint

*Since the primary endpoint did not meet statistical significance, all P-values resulting from subsequent hierarchical testing of endpoints are presented with no multiplicity adjustment (nominal). The widths of CIs have not been adjusted for multiplicity and cannot be used to infer definitive treatment effects. [1]A small number of tests at either baseline or Week 52 were marked as invalid by the clinical investigator; the most common reason was due to behavior.

Other secondary functional endpoint:
Supplementary Figure 3. Change from baseline to Week 52 in time to ascend 4 steps

Between-group difference LSM (SE): −0.36 (0.18) seconds (95% CI −0.71 to −0.01) $P=0.0412$*

- Negative values indicate an improvement in the time taken to achieve this endpoint

- The separation between groups was **clinically relevant**

*Since the primary endpoint did not meet statistical significance, all P-values resulting from subsequent hierarchical testing of endpoints are presented with no multiplicity adjustment (nominal). The widths of CIs have not been adjusted for multiplicity and cannot be used to infer definitive treatment effects. [1]A small number of tests at either baseline or Week 52 were marked as invalid by the clinical investigator; the most common reason was due to behavior.

Study group

Abe, Yuichi; Abbati, Francesca; Ahmadipour, Yahya; Aihara, Tsuyoshi; Alberto, Jorge; Alfano, Lindsay; Alvarez, Maria; Apkon, Susan; Audhya, Ivana; Awano, Hiroyuki; Baba, Shimpei; Baek, Ja Yoon; Baier, Nele; Baranello, Giovanni; Bartesaghi, Francesca; Batley, Kaitlin; Benjamin, Robert; Berti, Beatrice; Blaschek, Astrid; Bloom, Kelsey; Bo, Ryosuke; Bochnak, Meghan; Brandsema, John; Branic, Emily; Branigan, Lauren; Braswell, Leah; Braun, Frederik; Brolatti, Noemi; Brown, Laura; Bruno, Claudio; Brusa, Chiara; Burnette, William; Butterfield, Russell; Byrne, Barry J.; Capasso, Anna; Carlos Leon, Juan; Carrera Garcia, Laura; Carrera, Laura; Carry, Terri; Casalini, Sara; Castro, Diana; Cesar Diaz, Sergi; Chagnon, Sarah; Chan, Nerita

Chan, Sophelia; Chesshyre, Mary; Chiriboga, Claudia; Chiu, Celine; Cicala, Gianpaolo; Ciobanu, Teofil; Corni, Giacomo Pietro; Connolly, Anne; Coratti, Giorgia; Cornell, Nikki; Crawford, Thomas; Cripe, Linda; Cutrona, Costanza; Dammann, Philipp; Darras, Basil; Day, John; Dayan, Jonathan; De Groote, Katya; De Sanctis, Roberto; De Vivo, Darryl; Deconinck, Nicolas; Denecke, Jonas; Devine, Ginger; Devos, Daniel; Driemeyer, Joenna; Eichinger, Katy; El Seed, Maha; ElMallah, Mai; Elrick, Matthew; Ennamuri, Sravya; Evans, Maya; Expósito Escudero, Jesica Maria; Fassini, Federica; Finanger, Erika; Fisher, Gemma; Fryer, Robert; Fujii, Saeko; Gangfuss, Andrea; Ghafar, Nargies; Ghimenton, Elisabetta; Ghosh, Partha; Gilbreath, Heather; Goedeker, Natalie; Goelz, Antonia

Goude, Erica; Graham, Michael; Griffin, Danielle; Guglieri, Michela; Gurgel, Brittany; Harmelink, Matthew; Harms, Matthew; Harrington, Ann; Hartzell, Andrea; Hayakawa, Itaru; Hicks Wittman, Melissa; Hirano, Michio; Hoffman, Katie; Hogan, Mark; Hoon Lee, Bo; Hor, Kan; Hsiu Chien, Yin; Huett, Elizabeth; Hustinx, Manon; Hwu, Wuh-Liang; Iammarino, Megan; Iannaccone, Susan; Ibanez, Eugenia; Ignacio Ortez, Carlos; Iketani, Kiiko; Irie, Saeko; Ishido, Mikiko; Ishigaki, Keiko; Ishiguro, Kumiko; Jackson, Elise; Janecki, Teresa; Johannsen, Jessika; Jong, Yuh Jyh; Katz, Natalie; Kelley, Carolyn; Khan, Sohrab; Khounani, Tonya; Kichula, Elizabeth; Kihara, Yuki; King-Rennie, Agnes; Knox, Renatta; Koike, Kentaro; Kolbel, Heike; Koutsogianni, Maria

Kuhn, M. Ann; Kuntz, Nancy; Laine, Regina; Lan Yeung, Wai; Landon, Gregory; Laubscher, Katie; Laverty, Chamindra; Leach, Meganne; Lee, Ni-Chung; Lehman, Kelly; Lewis, Sarah; Liang, Wen-Chen; Liu, Yi-Ching; Lowes, Linda; Mackenzie, Samuel; Magri, Francesca; Malinova, Monika; Manberg, Stephanie; Markati, Theodora; Matesanz, Susan; Mathews, Katherine; McIntyre, Melissa; McKenzie, Emma; Medina Cantillo, Julita Maria; Mei Wan, Yuet; Milev, Evelin; Miller, Julie; Mockler, Shelley; Moldt, Sarah; Moody, Yvonne; Moore Burk, Meghan; Morando, Simone; Motohashi, Yuko; Moya Arcos, Obdulia; Muelas Gomez, Nuria; Mueller-Felber, Wolfgang; Murphy, Susan; Muschol, Nicole; Nagase, Hiroaki; Nagata, Satoru; Nambu, Yoshinori; Nance, Jessica; Natera de Benito, Daniel; Nelson, Leslie

Nguyen, Bella; Nicorici, Alina; Ochiai, Satoru; Ohashi, Eri; Oikawa, Shizuka; Onodera, Masafumi; Pane, Marika; Panicucci, Chiara; Panzer, Joseph; Paola Corti, Stefania; Parsons, Julie; Pasternak, Amy; Patel, Ruchee; Pedemonte, Marina; Peiro, Esteban; Pera, Maria; Pietro Comi, Giacomo; Pietruszewski, Lindsay; Pitarch, Inmaculada; Poelker, Stephanie; Poon, Freddie; Pyzik, Erika; Ramdas, Sithara; Rao, Lekha; Rao, Vamshi; Reading, Jacob; Reash, Natalie F.; Ricci, Martina; Roca Urraca, Sandra; Saade, Dimah; Sahenk, Zarife; Saito, Takashi; Sampson, Jacinda; Sato, Takatoshi; Schwaede, Abigail; Schwieterman, Jessica; Scoto, Mariacristina; Servais, Laurent; Shell, Richard; Shichiji, Minobu; Shieh, Jeng-Yi; Shieh, Perry; Shih, Hsiang-Hung; Shih, Renata

Shoemaker, Lawrence; Shu, Francy; Sigmund, Shana; Sivera, Rafael; Skalsky, Andrew; Skura, Christy; Smith, Edward; Smith, Erin; Sonehara, Shoko; Stanca, Giulia; Stechschulte, Mariah; Stefans, Vikki; Steffens, Paula; Straub, Volker; Strijbos, Paul; Sumitomo, Noriko; Surampudi, Prasanth; Swain, Mary Beth; Takeshita, Eri; Tesi Rocha, Carolina; Tokumoto, Shoichi; Tomioka, Kazumi; Tsuiki, Nobuaki; Vandekerckhove, Kristof; Vanlander, Arnaud; Vela, Kerry; Velardo, Daniele; Vill, Katharina; Waldrop, Megan; Warren, Patrick; Watson, Karolina; Waynick, Anna; Weiss, Deike; Weng, Wen-Chin; White, Nicole; Wilson, Amelia; Wolfe, Amy; Yamaguchi, Hiroshi; Yamamoto, Kaoru; Yang, Michele; Yenzer, Abigail; Yu, Lixi; Yum, Sabrina; Zilke, Kirsten

Abbreviations

10MWR, 10-meter Walk/Run; 100MWR, 100-meter Walk/Run; AAVrh74, adeno-associated virus rhesus isolate serotype 74; AE, adverse event; CI, confidence interval; DMD, Duchenne muscular dystrophy; GST, global statistical test; ITR, inverted terminal repeat; LSM, least-squares mean; NSAA, North Star Ambulatory Assessment; OH, hydroxide; polyA, polyadenylation; mAV, recombinant adeno-associated virus; rAAVrh74, recombinant adeno-associated virus rhesus isolate serotype 74; SAE, serious adverse event; SD, standard deviation; SE, standard error; ssDNA, single-stranded DNA; SV95C, stride velocity 95th centile; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event; TTR, Time to Rise; UAE, United Arab Emirates.

References

1. Asher DR, et al. Expert Opin Biol Ther. 2020; 20:263–274.
2. Zheng C and Baum BJ. Methods Mol Biol. 2008; 434:205–219.
3. Mendell JR, et al. JAMA Neurol. 2020; 77:1122–1131.
4. US Food and Drug Administration. ELEVIDYS™ Highlights of prescribing information. https://www.fda.gov/media/169679/download. Published 2023 (Accessed April 2024).
5. UAE Ministry of Health & Prevention. https://mohap.gov.ae/en/services/registered-medical-product-directory. Accessed April 2024.
6. Qatar Ministry of Public Health Update, 27 September 2023. Roche data on file.
7. Kuwait Ministry of Health Update, 19 February 2024. Roche data on file.
8. National Health Regulatory Authority Bahrain https://www.nhra.bh/departments/PPR/ (March 2024).
9. Ministry Health Oman, Registration Certificate, 26 March 2024. Roche data on file.
10. ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT05096221 (Accessed April 2024).
11. Chandler RJ and Venditti CP. Transl Sci Rare Dis. 2016; 1:73–89.
12. Novak JS, et al. JCI Insight. 2021; 6:e141555.
13. Li D, et al. JAMA Netw Open. 2020; 3:e1921306.
14. McDonald CM, et al. Lancet. 2018; 391:451–461.
15. Zambon AA, et al. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2022; 64:979–988.
16. Muntoni F, et al. PLoS One. 2019; 14:e0221097.
17. Goemans N, et al. PLoS One. 2020; 15:e0232870.
18. Mercuri E, et al. Fluid One, 2016; 11:e0160195.